

ADM: M/

30 DEC

DINO SAYS THAT THIS  
PAPER HAS BEEN RE-  
WRITTEN AS REQUESTED.

DINO WOULD PREFER  
THAT [ ] REVIEW  
IT BEFORE IT IS SENT  
FORWARD. [ ] RE-  
TURNS TO DUTY 5 JAN.

Declass  
Review by  
NIMA/DOD

BE-SUSPEND FOR 6 OR  
7 JAN ??

TAC.

Call Diño

18 Dec 69

Comments on Indicator planning;

ILLEGIB

2. Sounds to me like whatever  
reply we prepare should be  
signed jointly by [redacted] &  
NPIC, or should indicate in  
last para. that [redacted] concurs.

25X1

25X1

3. I do not understand why  
we must go through all that  
background stuff in the  
first 3 pages -- perhaps the  
references give some clue?

4. Depending on the requirement  
indicated in the references,

I want a terse, to-the-point memo which says how we shall go about responding to [REDACTED] ILLEGIB

a legitimate first-phase WIC responsibility), and attaches the lists of indicators.

- I want the memo & the lists to be coordinated with [REDACTED] and DIA & CIA intell. production offices before it goes forward.

5. I question the listed indicators which I have marked.

6. See my other marginal queries, comments & editorials on the memo.